arXiv:1205.0995v2 [hep-ph] 24 May 2012

Natural flavor conservation in a three Higg-doublet Model

A. C. B. Machado[1,2,*] and V. Pleitez[1,†]

[1] *Instituto de Física Teórica–Universidade Estadual Paulista*
R. Dr. Bento Teobaldo Ferraz 271, Barra Funda
São Paulo - SP, 01140-070, Brazil
[2]*Centro de Ciências Naturais e Humanas,*
Universidade Federal do ABC, Santo André-SP, 09210-170
Brazil.

(Dated: 22/05/12)

Abstract

We propose a new mechanism to suppress the flavor changing neutral currents in a three-Higgs doublet extension of the standard electroweak model. This mechanism has three ingredients: i) The three $SU(2)$ Higgs scalar doublets transform as the reducible representation triplet of the discrete S_3 symmetry that breaks to the irreducible representations, a doublet and a singlet; ii) an appropriate vacuum alignment and, iii) fermions transform as singlets of S_3. The mass matrices in both, neutral and charged scalar sectors, have the same form and are diagonalized by the same unitary matrix. In some cases the latter matrix is of the tribimaximal type. However, the natural suppression of the flavor changing neutral processes does not depend on the form of this matrix.

PACS numbers: 12.60.Fr 12.15.-y

---

[*] Electronic address: ana@ift.unesp.br

[†] Electronic address: vicente@ift.unesp.br

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known, from experiments, that processes which change flavor through the effects of neutral currents are quite suppressed [1]. It is also well known, since the seminal paper of Glashow and Weinberg [2], that the suppression of flavor changing neutral currents (FCNCs) is *natural* if it depends only on the symmetry and representation content of the model. In multi-Higgs doublet models we have natural flavor conservation if the fermion masses, of a given charge, are generated by a single source or, as in the standard electroweak model, a unique Higgs doublet generates the fermion masses. In order to obtain natural flavor conservation usually are imposed discrete Abelian symmetries like Z_2 [2], continuous Abelian [3], and non-Abelian continuos [4] or discrete [5] family symmetries or, to assume the alignment of the Yukawa matrices [6]. In particular the two-Higgs doublet models are the most considered in literature, see for instance Ref. [7].

Discrete symmetries have also been used to gain some predictivity in the flavor problem, usually to reproduce ansatze of mass matrix textures, and they origin may be related to more fundamental (new) physics [8]. However, in all these cases the goal was to explain the texture of the fermion mass matrizes. For instance, for gettting the so called tribimaximal mixing matrix in the leptonic sector. In particular the S_3 symmetry was considered since many years ago [9].

Here we will consider a three-Higgs doublet model in which due to the S_3 symmetry mass square matrizes in all the scalar sector (neutral scalar, pseudoescalar and charged scalar sectors) at the tree level, have the same form and, for this reason, they are diagonalized with the same unitary matrix which, in some cases, is of the tribimaximal type [10]. This is a consequence of the representation content of the discrete symmetry imposed to the model as well an appropriate vacuum alignment, and it also implies that the model naturally suppress FCNC mediated by neutral scalars in the quark and lepton sectors.

The model is an extension of the electroweak standard model with three Higgs scalars, $SU(2)$ doublets with $Y = +1$, in the reducible triplet representation of the discrete symmetry $S_3 : \mathbf{3} = (H_1, H_2, H_3)$. This reducible representation is broken to a singlet and a doublet

$S_3 = \mathbf{2}+\mathbf{1} \equiv D+S$, so we will consider the following irreducible representation of S_3:

$$\begin{aligned}
&D \equiv (D_1, D_2) = \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(2H_1 - H_2 - H_3), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(H_2 - H_3)\right] \sim \mathbf{2}, \\
&S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(H_1 + H_2 + H_3) \sim \mathbf{1}. \qquad (1)
\end{aligned}$$

The $SU(2)\otimes U(1)_Y \otimes S_3$ invariant scalar potential is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
V(D,S) &= \mu_s^2 S^\dagger S + \mu_d^2 [D^\dagger \otimes D]_1 + \lambda_1([D^\dagger \otimes D]_1)^2 + \lambda_2[(D^\dagger \otimes D)_{1'}(D^\dagger \otimes D)_{1'}]_1 \\
&+ \lambda_3[(D^\dagger \otimes D)_2(D^\dagger \otimes D)_2] + \lambda_4(S^\dagger S)^2 + \lambda_5[D^\dagger \otimes D]_1 S^\dagger S + \lambda_6 S^\dagger[D^\dagger \otimes D]_1 S \\
&+ \{\lambda_7[(S^\dagger \otimes D)_2(D^\dagger \otimes S)_2]_1 + \lambda_8[(S^\dagger \otimes D)_2(D^\dagger \otimes D)_2]_1 + H.c.\} \qquad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

We recall that denoting $\mathbf{2} = (x_1, x_2)$, we have $\mathbf{2}\otimes\mathbf{2} = \mathbf{1}\oplus\mathbf{1'}\oplus\mathbf{2'}$ where $\mathbf{1} = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2$, $\mathbf{1'} = x_1y_2 - x_2y_1$, $\mathbf{2'} = (x_1y_2 + x_2y_1, x_1y_1 - x_2y_2)$, and $\mathbf{1'}\otimes\mathbf{1'} = \mathbf{1}$ [11].

We make as usual the decomposition of the symmetry eigenstates as $H_i^0 = (1/\sqrt{2})(v + \eta_i^0 + iA_i^0)$ $i = 1,2,3$, and assume, for the sake of the simplicity, all VEVs being real (the general constraint equations are given in the Appendix). Here let us consider the case when $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = v$. In this case the constraint equations are $t_i = 0$ with

$$t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = v(\mu_s^2 + 3\lambda_4 v^2), \qquad (3)$$

which implies $\mu_s^2 = -3\lambda_4 v^2 < 0,\ \lambda_4 > 0$. All scalar mass matrices in the model has the form

$$M^2 = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b & -b \\ -b & a & -b \\ -b & -b & a \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (4)$$

where $a, b > 0$.

This matrix is diagonalized as follows: $U_{TBM}^T M^2 U_{TBM} = \text{diag}(a-2b, a+b, a+b)$, with

$$U_{TBM} = UP = (e_1\, e_2\, e_3) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (5)$$

where we have denoted the eigenvectors by e_1, e_2, e_3, and the matrices U and P in (5) are give by

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \qquad (6)$$

In the case CP-even neutral real scalars we have $a = (2/3)\mu_d^2 + (2\lambda_4 + \bar{\lambda}^\prime)v^2$, and $-6b = 2\mu_d^2 - 3(4\lambda_4 - \bar{\lambda}^\prime)v^2$, where $\bar{\lambda}^\prime = \lambda_5 + \lambda_6 + 2\lambda_7$. We have the following eigenvalues:

$$m^2_{h_1} = 6\lambda_4 v^2, \qquad m^2_{h_2} = m^2_{h_3} \equiv m^2_h = \mu_d^2 + \frac{3}{2}\bar{\lambda}^\prime v^2, \tag{7}$$

Denoting as h_i^0 the mass eigenstates, we have $\eta_i^0 = (U_{TBM})_{ij} h_j^0$, where U_{TBM} is given in (5). The scalar h_1^0 may be identified with the standard model Higgs scalar.

In the CP-odd neutral scalars the mass matrix is given as in (4) but now with $a = (2/3)\mu_d^2 + \bar{\lambda}^\prime v^2$ and $-6b = 2\mu_d^2 + 3\bar{\lambda}^\prime v^2$ and in this case we obtain the following masses:

$$m^2_{a_1} = 0, \qquad m^2_{a_2} = m^2_{a_3} \equiv m^2_a = \mu_d^2 + \frac{3}{2}\bar{\lambda}^\prime v^2 \tag{8}$$

Denoting a_i^0 the pseudo-scalar mass eigenstates, we have $A_i^0 = (U_{TBM})_{ij} a_j^0$.

Similarly in the charged scalars sector we use (4) with $6a = 2\mu_d^2 + 3\lambda_5 v^2$ and $-12b = 2\mu_d^2 + 3\lambda_5 v^2$ and in this case we obtain the following masses:

$$m^2_{c_1} = 0, \qquad m^2_{c_2} = m^2_{c_3} \equiv m^2_c = \frac{1}{4}(2\mu_d^2 + 3\lambda_5 v^2). \tag{9}$$

Finally, if H_i^+ denote the charged scalar symmetry eigenstates and h_i^+ the respective mass eigenstates, we have $H_i^+ = (U_{TBM})_{ij} h_j^+$. The mass degeneracy is due to a residual symmetry as we will see below.

The μ_d^2 parameter appears in Eqs. (7), (8) and (9). This parameter may be > 0 or < 0, and since it is not protected by any symmetry, it may be larger than the electroweak scale. In fact, if $\mu_d^2 > v^2 > 0$ the masses of the scalar $h^0_{2,3}$, pseudoscalar $a^0_{2,3}$ and the charged scalar $h^\pm_{2,3}$ are heavier than h_1^0, independently of the values of the λ's and v. On the other hand, if $\mu_d^2 < 0$, all these particles may be lighter than h_1, and in the case considered up to now, i.e., with the scalar potential as in Eq. (2), they are neutral and charged long-lived fermiophobic particles.

Using the mixing matrix in Eq. (5), we can write the Higgs scalars doublet D and the singlet S, in terms of the mass eigenstates, h_i^0, a_i^0 and $h_i^\pm$, as

$$S \equiv h_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} h_1^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(3v + h_1^0 + i a_1^0) \end{pmatrix}, \; D \equiv -(h_2, h_3), \; h_n = \begin{pmatrix} h_n^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(h_n^0 + i a_n^0) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

where $n = 2, 3$. There is no flavor violation at tree level because the mass term arise only through one source: the singlet S (see below).

The potential in Eq. (2), which is written in terms of the symmetry eigenstates in Eq. (1), is equivalent to the following one, written in terms of the mass eigenstates given in Eq. (10):

$$\begin{aligned} V(h_i) &= 3\lambda_4 v^2 h_1^\dagger h_1 + \mu_d^2(h_2^\dagger h_2 + h_3^\dagger h_3) + \lambda_1(h_2^\dagger h_2 + h_3^\dagger h_3)^2 + \lambda_2(h_2^\dagger h_3 - h_3^\dagger h_2)^2 \\ &+ \lambda_3[(h_2^\dagger h_3 + h_3^\dagger h_2)^2 + (h_2^\dagger h_2 - h_3^\dagger h_3)^2] + \lambda_4(h_1^\dagger h_1)^2 + \lambda_5(h_2^\dagger h_2 + h_3^\dagger h_3)(h_1^\dagger h_1) \\ &+ (\lambda_6 + \lambda_7) h_1^\dagger(h_2^\dagger h_2 + h_3^\dagger h_3) h_1 + [\lambda_8(h_1^\dagger h_2 h_2^\dagger h_3 + h_1^\dagger h_2 h_3^\dagger h_2 \\ &+ h_1^\dagger h_3 h_2^\dagger h_2 + h_1^\dagger h_3 h_1^\dagger h_1) + H.c.] \end{aligned} \qquad (11)$$

Notice from the scalar potential in Eq. (11), that there are still a residual $S_2 \equiv Z_2$ symmetry: it is invariant under the exchange of the doublets $h_2 \leftrightarrow h_3$. This is described mathematically by the following operator

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (12)$$

If the eigenvectors of the mass square matrix are also eigenvectors of E we can distinguish the three eigenvectors e_1, e_2, e_3, with which the tribimaximal matrix in Eq (5) is obtained, even if e_2 and e_3 are mass degenerated: $Ee_2 = e_2$ and $Ee_3 = -e_3$.

Next, we can consider how to break the mass degeneracy in the scalar sectors. It may be broken by quantum corrections and/or by soft terms in the scalar potential. Here we will break explicitly the S_2 symmetry, in order to break the mass degeneracy, and also to avoid domain wall problems. In this vain, we add the soft terms $\mu^2_{nm} H^\dagger_n H_m$, $n, m = 2, 3$ to the scalar potential in (2). In this case the mass matrices in all the scalar sectors are of the form

$$M^2 = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b & -b \\ -b & a + \mu^2_{22} & -b + \mu^2_{23} \\ -b & -b + \mu^2_{23} & a + \mu^2_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (13)$$

where $a, b > 0$, and μ^2_{nm} are naturally small (and real for the sake of simplicity). If $\mu^2_{22} = \mu^2_{33} = -\mu^2_{23} \equiv \mu^2$ the matrix in (13) is still diagonalized by the the matrix in Eq. (5) and the eigenvalues are [12]

$$m_1^2 = 2a - b, \quad m_2^2 = a + b, \quad m_3^2 = a + b + \mu^2. \qquad (14)$$

In this case the singlet S is still equal to h_1 and there is no FCNC.

On the other hand, assuming that: $\mu_{22}^2 = \mu_{33}^2 = \nu^2$; $\mu_{23}^2 = \mu^2$ and that ν and μ are smaller than a and b. In this case the eigenvalues up to first order in ν and μ are:

$$m_1 = a - 2b + \frac{2}{3}A \quad , \quad m_2 = a + b + \frac{1}{3}A \quad , \quad m_3 = a + b + \nu^2 - \mu^2 \tag{15}$$

where $A = \nu^2 + \mu^2$, and the tribimaximal matrix in (5) is replaced by:

$$U' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{2A}{9\sqrt{3}b} & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(1 - \frac{A}{9b}) & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{A}{9\sqrt{3}b} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(1 + \frac{2A}{9b}) & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} + \frac{A}{9\sqrt{3}b} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(1 + \frac{2A}{9b}) & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

In this case we have

$$S = h_1 + \alpha h_2, \; D_1 = -h_2 + \alpha h_1, \;\; D_2 = -h_3, \tag{17}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{2}A}{9b}$, and the $SU(2)$ doublets h_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ are written in term of the mass eigenstates are given as in Eq. (10).

In the most general case, with the mass matrices of the form in Eq. (13) we have

$$S \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_i U_{si} h_i^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[3v + \sum_i U_{si}(h_i^0 + i a_i^0)] \end{pmatrix}, \; D_n \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \sum_i U_{ni} h_i^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_i U_{ni}(h_i^0 + i a_i^0) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{18}$$

where $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $n = 2, 3$, h_i^0, a_i^0 and h_i^+ are all mass eigenstates (including the Goldstone bosons if we are in a generalizes ξ-gauge). In (18), U is the most general matrix which diagonalize the mass square matrix in (13). Notice that due to the representation content of the scalars under S_3, see Eq. (1) and the vacuum alignment, the mixing matrices in all the scalar sectors is always the same, say U.

If in the lepton and quark sectors all fields transform as singlet under S_3, and for these reason they only interact with the singlet S as following:

$$-\mathcal{L}_l = \bar{L}_{iL} G_{ij}^l l_{jR} S + \bar{L}_{iL} G_{ij}^\nu \nu_{jR} \tilde{S} + H.c.. \tag{19}$$

and

$$-\mathcal{L}_q = \bar{Q}_{iL} G_{ij}^u u_{jR} \tilde{S} + \bar{Q}_{iL} G_{ij}^d d_{jR} S + H.c.. \tag{20}$$

$\tilde{S} = i\tau_2 S^*$.

As we said before, the fermion masses arise only through the VEV of the singlet S which is the only linear combination with a non-zero VEV, see Eqs. (10) or (18). Hence, there is no FCNC in the lepton and quark sectors because there is just one source of the fermion masses which are given by $M^f = (\sqrt{3}v/2)G^f,\ f = l, \nu, u, d$. The neutral interactions are $(2/\sqrt{3}v)\bar{f}_L \hat{M}^f f_R h_1^0$ with $\hat{M}^f$ is the diagonal mass matrix in the f-sector. Notice that $v = v_{SM}/\sqrt{3}$ where $v_{SM} = 246$ GeV. These mass matrices are general enough to accommodate a realistic V_{PMNS} and V_{CKM} mixing matrices. However, since the right-handed neutrinos may have a Majorana mass term we can have a type-I seesaw mechanism.

Even in some cases when the scalars are not mass degenerated, as in Eq. (14), the matrices that diagonalize the three mass square matrices are of the tribimaximal type. On the other hand, when general soft terms are added to the scalar potential, again there is no FCNC at tree level, but the matrix U is not of the tribimaximal type. Hence the natural suppression of FCNC does not depend on the form of the matrix which diagonalize the mass matrices. However, it is interesting that when the mass matrices are diagonalzed by the tribimaximal matrix, without soft-terms or when the soft-terms satisfy the conditions $\nu_{22} = \nu_{33} = -\nu_{23} \equiv \nu$, the singlet and the doublet mass eiganstates are given in Eq. (10), and as can be seen from Eqs. (19) and (20), there is no couplings of the $SU(2)$ doublets h_2 and h_3 to fermions, and these scalars are fermiophobic. Hence, in this cases, the doublets h_2 and h_3 are inert [13] and may be dark matter candidates [14] and also there is no flavor violation mediated by scalar exchange at any order. Those doublets are not inert in the case of (18). As in the two-doublet model [6], there is also an alignment of the Yukawa matrices, but now without using a global $SU(2)$ transformation in order to define a basis in which only one of the doublet gain a nonzero VEV, but because the $\langle D_i \rangle = 0$ where D_i are the S_3 doublet in Eq. (1).

In summary, we have obtained a three Higgs doublet model in which FCNC mediated by the exchange of neutral scalars are naturally suppressed. This is because the three ingredients: i) linear combinations of the three Higgs scalars doublet of $SU(2)$ are in the irreducible representations of S_3: singlet S and doublet D_1, D_2, as in Eq. (1), ii) the vacuum alignment, and iii) the triviality of the fermions under S_3.

Finally, we would like to say that this mechanism may be applied in other context as dynamical symmetry breaking if the condensates transform as singlet under an appropriate S_n symmetry.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank for fully support to CAPES (ACBM) and for partial support to CNPq and FAPESP (VP).

Appendix A: Constraint equations

From (2) we obtain the constraint equations are written explicitly as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&18t_1 = 6\mu_d^2(2v_1 - v_2 - v_3) + 6\mu_s^2 V + 2(4\bar{\lambda} + \lambda_4 + 2\bar{\lambda}' - 2\sqrt{2}\lambda_8)v_1^3 \\
&-(4\bar{\lambda} + 6\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_4 - \bar{\lambda}' - \sqrt{2}\lambda_8)(v_2^3 + v_3^3) - 3(2\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_4 + \bar{\lambda}' + 2\sqrt{2}\lambda_8)(v_2^2 v_3 + v_2 v_3^2) \\
&-3(4\bar{\lambda} - 2\lambda_4 - \bar{\lambda}' - \sqrt{2}\lambda_8)v_1^2(v_2 + v_3) + 6(2\bar{\lambda} - 2\lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + 2\sqrt{2}\lambda_8)v_1(v_2^2 + v_3^2) \\
&+6(4\lambda_2 + 2\lambda_4 - \bar{\lambda}' - 2\sqrt{2}\lambda_8)v_1 v_2 v_3 \\
&18t_2 = -6\mu_d^2(v_1 - 2v_2 + v_3) + 6\mu_s^2 V + 2(4\bar{\lambda} - 3\lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + 2\bar{\lambda}' - 4\sqrt{2}\lambda_8)v_2^3 \\
&-(4\bar{\lambda} - 2\lambda_4 - \bar{\lambda}' - \sqrt{2}\lambda_8)(v_1^3 + v_3^3) - 3(4\bar{\lambda} + 2\lambda_4 + \bar{\lambda}' + \sqrt{2}\lambda_8)v_2^2 v_3 \\
&-3(2\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_4 + \bar{\lambda}' + 2\sqrt{2}\lambda_8)v_2^2 v_1 - 3(2\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_4 + \bar{\lambda}' + 2\sqrt{2}\lambda_8)v_1 v_3^2 \\
&+6(2\bar{\lambda} + \lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + \sqrt{2}\lambda_8)v_2 v_3^2 + 3(4\lambda_2 + 2\lambda_4 - \bar{\lambda}' - 2\sqrt{2}\lambda_8)v_1^2 v_3 \\
&-3(2\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_4 + \bar{\lambda}' + 2\sqrt{2}\lambda_8)v_1 v_3^2 - 6(2\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_4 - \bar{\lambda}' + 2\sqrt{2}\lambda_8)v_1 v_2 v_3 \\
&18t_3 = -6\mu_d^2(v_1 + v_2 - 2v_3) + 6\mu_s^2 V + 2(4\bar{\lambda} - 3\lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + \bar{\lambda}' - 4\sqrt{2}\lambda_8)v_3^3 \\
&-(4\bar{\lambda}_1 - 2\lambda_4 - \bar{\lambda}' - \sqrt{2}\lambda_8)(v_1^3 + v_2^2) + 6(2\bar{\lambda} + \lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + 2\sqrt{2}\lambda_8)v_2^2 v_3 \\
&-3(4\bar{\lambda} - 2\lambda_4 - \bar{\lambda}' - 2\sqrt{2}\lambda_8)v_2 v_3^2 - 3(2\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_4 + \bar{\lambda}' + 2\sqrt{2}\lambda_8)v_1 v_2^2 \\
&+3(4\bar{\lambda} - 2\lambda_2 + \lambda_4 - \bar{\lambda}' + \sqrt{2}\lambda_8)v_1 v_3^2 + 3(4\lambda_2 + 2\lambda_4 - \bar{\lambda}' - 2\sqrt{2}\lambda_8)v_1^2 v_2 \\
&+3(4\bar{\lambda} - 2\lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + 2\sqrt{2}\lambda_8)v_1^2 v_3 - 3(4\lambda_2 - 4\lambda_4 + \bar{\lambda}' - 2\sqrt{2}\lambda_8)v_1 v_2 v_3
\end{aligned}
\tag{A1}
$$

where $V = v_1 + v_2 + v_3$, $\bar{\lambda} = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3$, $\bar{\lambda}' = \lambda_5 + \lambda_6 + 2\lambda_7$. We have denoted $\langle H_i^0 \rangle = v_i/\sqrt{2}$.

[1] M. Gersabeck, V. V. Gligorov, and N. Serra, arXiv:1204.5273.

[2] S. L. Glashow and S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D **15**, 1958 (1977).

[3] P. Ko, Y. Omura, and C. Yu, , arXiv:1204.4588.

[4] I. de Medeiros Varzelas, Phys. lett. **B701**, 597 (2011).

[5] A. C. B. Machado, J. C. Montero, and V. Pleitez, Phys. Lett. **B697**, 318 (2011).

[6] A. Pich and P. Tuzon, Phys. Rev. D **80**, R091702 (2009); H. Serodio, Phys. Lett. **700**, 133 (2011).

[7] G. C. Branco, P. M. Ferreira, L. Lavoura, M. N. Rebelo, M. Sher, and J. P. Silva, arXiv:1106.0034, and references therein.

[8] H. P. Nilles, M. Ratz, and P. K. S. Vaudrevange, arXiv:1204.2206.

[9] Y. Koide, Phys. Rev. D 69, 093001 (2004) [hep-ph/0312207]; S.L. Chen, M. Frigerio and E. Ma, Phys. Rev. D 70, 073008 (2004) [Erratum-ibid. D **70**, 079905 (2004)] [hep-ph/0404084; S. Morisi and M. Picariello, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **45**, 1267 (2006) [hep-ph/0505113] ; R. N. Mohapatra, S. Nasri and H. -B. Yu, Phys. Lett. **B 636**, 114 (2006) [hep-ph/0603020]; R. Allahverdi, B. Dutta, and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Lett. **B695**, 181 (2011), arXiv:1008.1232. A. C. B. Machado and V. Pleitez, Phys. Lett. **B698**, 128 (2011) and arXiv:1105.6064.

[10] P. F. Harrison, D. Perkins, and W. G. Scott, Phys. Lett. **B530**, 167 (2007).

[11] For the representations and rules of the S_3 symmetry see H. Ishimori *et al.*, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **183**, 1 (2010), arXiv:1003.3552v2.

[12] G. Altarelli, PoS HRMS**2010**, 022 (2010) arXiv:1011.5342.

[13] N. G. Deshpande and E. Ma, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 2574 (1978); R. Barbieri, L. J. Hall, and V. S. Rychkov1 D **74**, 015007 (2006).

[14] M. Gustafsson, Erik Lundström L. Bergström and J. Edsjo, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 041301 (2007).